

Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

the same act be a violation of both a state and federal court, and accused in the state court can show that before that there has been a prosecution or proceeding against him under a federal statute for the same act, the prosecution in the state court is barred, but a proceeding in federal court after the beginning of a prosecution in the state court will not bar the prosecution in the state court.

2. Criminal Law (§ 100 (3)*)—Court First Taking Jurisdiction of Prosecution Has Right to Conclude.—The court which first takes jurisdiction of a criminal prosecution has priority and the right to conclude the specific litigation.

Error to Circuit Court, Dickenson County.

D. C. Owens was convicted of a violation of the Prohibition Act, and brings error. Affirmed.

A. A. Skeen, of Clintwood, for plaintiff in error.

The Attorney General and Ino. R. Saunders, of Richmond, for the Commonwealth.

STEPHEN PUTNEY SHOE CO., Inc. v. ORMSBY'S ADM'R Jan. 20, 1921. [105 S. E. 563.]

- 1. Municipal Corporations (§ 706 (5)*)—Evidence Held to Establish Negligence in Driving Motor Truck.—In an action for death of a pedestrian struck by defendant's motortruck, evidence held to establish the negligence of defendant.
- 2. Municipal Corporations (§ 705 (10)*)—Decedent Killed by Motortruck Negligence in Stepping from Sidewalk.—Pedestrian killed when struck by defendant's motorman held negligence in leaving sidewalk and going on the street without looking before stepping from the curb.
 - [Ed. Note.—For other cases, see 10 Va.-W. Va. Enc. Dig. 386.]
- 3. Municipal Corporations (§ 705 (10)*)—Last Clear Chance Held Not to Apply to Case of Death from Motortruck.—Doctrine of last clear chance held not to apply to case of pedestrian who left sidewalk without looking and in the street was struck and killed by defendant's motortruck.

Error to Law and Equity Court of City of Richmond.

Action by Ormsby's administrator against the Stephen Putney Shoe Company, Incorporated. To review judgment for plaintiff, defendant brings error. Reversed.

S. A. Anderson and A. G. Collins, both of Richmond, for plaintiff in error.

Geo. E. Haw, of Richmond, for defendant in error.

^{*}For other cases see same topic and KEY-NUMBER in all Key-Numbered Digests and Indexes.